Sl, No,	Name of the Bench	Yea of Orlpest Case pending
5,	Bombay Bench	1986
6,	Calcutta Bench	1986
7,	Chandigarh Bench	1986
8,	Cuttack Bench	1989
9,	Ernakulam Bench	1993
10,	Guwahati Bench	1986
11,	Hyderabad Bench	1987
12,	Jabalpur Bench	1986
13,	Jaipur Bench	1987
14,	Jodhpur Bench	1988
15,	Lucknow Bench	1986
16,	Madras Bench	1991
17,	Patna Bench	1987

Samaj Sadans in R. E. Puram, New Delhi

3974. SHRI O. P. KOHLI; Will the PRxME /MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government had ta ken a decision some years ago to provide only one Samaj Sadan in R. K. Furam, Sector XII, New Delhi which shall have a Barat Ghar amongst other things and to upgrade the existing Samaj Sadan of Sector-1 R.K. Puram to contain a Barat Ghar) and otaher essentia-lites;

(b) if so, the reasons for these Samaj Sadans not coming up even after lapsing of years; and

(c) the concrete steps taken to upgrade these Samaj Sadans with-it further delay?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI MARGARET LVA): (a) to (c) The decision to conceret A Samaj Sadan, in. R.K. Puram Sector XII and the proposal to upgrade the Samaj Sadan of Sector I, R. K. Puram were both under consideration of an Inter-Departmental Committee set up to review the construction of Samaj Sadans. Subsequently, while considering the report of the Committe© it was decided not to construct any new Samaj Sadan in Delhi and use the sites earmarked for the facility of children and residents.

Complaints Received by Central Vigilance! Commission

3975. SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of cases received by the Central Vigilance ^Commission during the last three years, till date, year-wise alongwith the number of cases disposed of during the period, year-wise;

(b) whether the Commission re-ceives anonymous complaints; if so, the method devised to resolve such cases-